




LoadBalancer Enterprise

Reviews, tips, and advice from real users



Powered by  **PeerSpot**

Contents

Product Recap..... 3 - 4

Valuable Features..... 5 - 12

Other Solutions Considered..... 13 - 15

ROI..... 16 - 18

Use Case..... 19 - 22

Setup..... 23 - 26

Customer Service and Support..... 27 - 29

Other Advice..... 30 - 33

Trends..... 34 - 35

About PeerSpot..... 36 - 37

Product Recap



LoadBalancer Enterprise

LoadBalancer Enterprise Recap

LoadBalancer Enterprise offers a reliable, high-availability solution designed for efficient load distribution and a user-friendly interface, catering to teams with foundational network expertise.

LoadBalancer Enterprise enhances network performance through effective traffic routing, monitoring, and high availability. Users benefit from SSL termination with SNI and Layer 7 load balancing, ensuring seamless connections with reduced complexity compared to alternatives. While known for its user-friendly approach, improvements in configuration simplicity, scalable hardware upgrades, and enhanced notification systems are often discussed for better user experience. Additional demands include HTTP API access, automated configuration backups, DNS load balancing, and enhanced graphing data capabilities. Competitive pricing and heightened security for sensitive data are frequently requested. Interface refresh issues and Microsoft compatibility are noted among users, who deploy it for SSL/HTTP traffic management, redundancy, failover capabilities, web filtering, and cloud migration support.

What are LoadBalancer Enterprise's key features?

- **Ease of Use:** Accessible interface for team members with basic network knowledge.
- **Reliability and High Availability:** Ensures consistent service with effective load distribution.
- **SSL Termination with SNI:** Supports secure connections with Server Name Indication.
- **Layer 7 Load Balancing:** Enhanced functionality for better traffic management.
- **Scalability and Performance:** Offers strong performance with low latency.

What benefits or ROI can users expect?

- **Quick Remote Support:** Fast assistance for issue resolution.
- **Low Complexity:** Streamlined operations compared to competitors.
- **Traffic Routing and Monitoring:** Efficient management aids in decision-making.
- **Flexibility in Deployment:** Suitable for diverse traffic scenarios and server needs.

LoadBalancer Enterprise is implemented across industries for managing SSL/HTTP traffic, enhancing network reliability, and providing failover capabilities. It supports web filtering, balances Exchange and application servers, facilitates cloud migration, and manages multiple ISP lines for optimal traffic distribution across web applications and services, including SIP provisioning. Industries also use it for enhancing security with web application firewalls, DNS sharing, and application publishing.

Valuable Features

Excerpts from real customer reviews on PeerSpot:

- ✓ “LoadBalancer Enterprise has positively impacted our organization because it includes everything, such as licensing cuts and total cost of ownership, which makes budgeting predictable.”



Saud Mustafa

Senior L3 Cloud Platform Network & Security Engineer at a comms service provider with 1,001-5,000 employees

- ✓ “LoadBalancer Enterprise has given my company the confidence that our software is sustainable and scalable according to our design.”



AmiyaAcharya

Sr QA Automation Engineer at a tech vendor with 10,001+ employees

- ✓ “Performance-wise with LoadBalancer Enterprise, I haven't seen any issues between on-premises and the cloud.”



MuthukaruppasamyR

Senior Network At Dxc Technology Professional at DXC Technology



“Now, we achieve about 99% availability across all servers thanks to the health check feature in the load balancer.”



R Nandasana

Senior Information Technology Security Consultant at Mideast Data Systems



“LoadBalancer Enterprise has positively impacted my organization by allowing me to onboard approximately 200 to 300 applications depending on resource availability.”



Verified user

Network Security Engineer at a consultancy with 11-50 employees



“In my opinion, the best features offered by LoadBalancer Enterprise are high availability, performance, and resilience.”



Verified user

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees



“LoadBalancer Enterprise has impacted my organization positively by making our applications and services available to all users across the globe.”



Femi Adeyemi

Senior Team Lead Systems Administrator at a comms service provider with 51-200 employees

What users had to say about valuable features:

“In my opinion, the best features offered by LoadBalancer Enterprise are high availability, performance, and resilience.

“High availability, performance, and resilience concretely show up in my daily work with LoadBalancer Enterprise as it operates in a cluster, allowing us to have high availability in case of an outage on one of the two load balancers. It is important for critical services to always be available, and for performance, they are better able to handle a high volume of simultaneous connections on the servers in question.

“For example, in the event of an issue on one of the servers hosting a critical application, thanks to LoadBalancer Enterprise, that server was able to be removed from the server pool and the other servers that were in this pool were able to take over, allowing us to significantly reduce the impact..”

Verified user

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“The best features LoadBalancer Enterprise offers include HTTP request and response rewrite and SSL termination, also called SSL offloading. I can achieve link-level load balancing where if I have multiple WAN links, I can accomplish load sharing and traffic management. Content rewriting is achievable here. Additionally, I can implement RFC compliance for requests using the HTTP profile. I can also achieve load sharing of UDP traffic, not just TCP, and even handle ICMP traffic where a number of requests can be managed. DNS and ASM can also be integrated with this load balancer.

I find myself using traffic management and content rewriting most often. These are the major features that make the biggest difference in my day-to-day work.

LoadBalancer Enterprise has positively impacted my organization by allowing me to onboard approximately 200 to 300 applications depending on resource availability. This enables high availability and reduces resource utilization on the backend servers. It is useful for routing traffic during maintenance of the backend application servers..”

Verified user

[Read full review](#) 

Network Security Engineer at a consultancy with 11-50 employees

“LoadBalancer Enterprise provides its strongest features through its dual layer four and layer seven engine in a single box. It provides high availability for the applications and application security features for WAF as a web service. The support level is outstanding, and the WAF features and the GSLB features, which are DNS-based, are very good and provide high availability without any downtime.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise has positively impacted our organization because it includes everything, such as licensing cuts and total cost of ownership, which makes budgeting predictable. There are no per-module surprises when scaling, and application-specific deployment guides in a simple interface allow correctly tuned load balancers to go live in under an hour. Both senior engineers and junior engineers can work on it. The clustered HA and health-aware steering and GSLB help significantly for mission-critical applications that are online through server and site failures. This helps us to protect the SLAs. The bundled WAF security module provides high security for the application. The consistently responsive support reduces operational risk and builds lasting internal confidence in the platform..”

Saud Mustafa

Senior L3 Cloud Platform Network & Security Engineer at a comms service provider with 1,001-5,000 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“The best features that LoadBalancer Enterprise offers include Round Robin, where different servers are available, and also the VRRP and the virtual IP as well.

“The Round Robin and virtual IP features help me by ensuring that traffic is routed across all the nodes. Whatever is available receives the traffic. There is no queue. Any server that is available takes over the master role and brings that service back to the application so that it continues running.

“The major feature of load balancing is to ensure that all services are available around the clock and at any time. Having multiple options is a significant advantage that I enjoy with load balancing.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise has impacted my organization positively by making our applications and services available to all users across the globe. Even when we have issues with the firewalls, nodes, or application database, customers do not need to know because on the back end, we are able to access the application through another node with the help of the load balancer..”

Femi Adeyemi

Senior Team Lead Systems Administrator at a comms service provider with 51-200 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“I utilize LoadBalancer Enterprise's SSL Termination feature, and options are available to do SSL Termination both in the cloud and in the F5. I have successfully used the SSL Termination feature in F5.

“The Advanced Health Check feature in LoadBalancer Enterprise is available, and it has helped in maintaining optimal server performance.

“Performance-wise with LoadBalancer Enterprise, I haven't seen any issues between on-premises and the cloud. If there are any performance-related issues, I have the option to automatically upgrade and increase the instances, memory, and CPU configurations. I never come across any performance-related issues in the cloud environment.

“When evaluating LoadBalancer Enterprise's application level monitoring, it is similar to the on-premises monitoring capabilities. Additionally, the instance level monitoring is taken care of by AWS Cloud, which continuously monitors CPU, memory, and network usage, sending status updates to the operations teams. Compared to on-premises monitoring, I find the AWS Cloud setup beneficial, as it allows for immediate upgrades when alerts are raised for high CPU utilization, which is a significant advantage.

“The intuitive interface of LoadBalancer Enterprise assists me with monitoring network traffic, including options to monitor the network queue and throughput effectively..”

MuthukaruppasamyR

Senior Network At Dxc Technology Professional at DXC Technology

[Read full review](#) 

“There are many types of load balancers, such as NGINX, which is a proxy, and those need to be validated with different types of testing. The number of availability and redundancy need to be checked, the health checks of the software need to be validated, and as a load balancer, I need to check how much traffic it is able to handle at a given point in time.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise is very useful in the case of a multifaceted software system. For example, when I do not know exactly how many users are going to be using it at a given point in time, LoadBalancer Enterprise ensures that it distributes the load properly so that the system is not broken and it is available for all users seamlessly.

“When I say it distributes the load properly, LoadBalancer Enterprise provides several affinity mechanisms such as cookie, resource, IP, and header-based options. For stateful healthcare workflows and banking transactions where session context matters, these options are flexible and reliable. In stateful failover edge cases, I need to make sure it is working properly, and those are the things I need to validate.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise simulates production traffic profiles by using a realistic traffic generator that models different requests and transaction mixes such as reads and writes, leveraging replay traffic from sanitized production traces whenever possible. LoadBalancer Enterprise allows me to simulate chaos and failure injection by integrating chaos testing to validate retry and back-off behavior, connection drain, and upstream slowdown scenarios. I can validate that rate limits and circuit breakers are functioning properly.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise has given my company the confidence that our software is sustainable and scalable according to our design. I can approach customers with confidence that they will not be facing any issues such as unavailability of services or any interruptions during their work. This confidence gives my company an edge over competitors..”

AmiyaAcharya

Sr QA Automation Engineer at a tech vendor with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

Other Solutions Considered

“We have used multiple load balancers, including F5, Citrix, and Avi. We are now using LoadBalancer Enterprise as an additional option. We have not switched from our previous solutions; this is one option that we have added..”

Saud Mustafa

Senior L3 Cloud Platform Network & Security Engineer at a comms service provider with 1,001-5,000 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“I do not evaluate other options before choosing LoadBalancer Enterprise as we take over the infrastructures and solutions that are already in place at the clients and we manage them..”

Verified user

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“Previously, I was using Kafka, and I wanted a more scalable, robust, and synchronous setup for my software. I switched to LoadBalancer Enterprise because the buffer time in Kafka was very high, and it is synchronous. The event ingestion and message queuing were not optimized, so I switched to LoadBalancer Enterprise..”

AmiyaAcharya

Sr QA Automation Engineer at a tech vendor with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“We had load balancers from before, that were just kicking around, so we used the ones that we had before, and they weren't a very good match. Then the supplier said, "Use these instead," and that's what we did. They were afforded somehow, (I have no idea how that money was found, or how much they cost or anything like that). But we switched over to what they said and haven't looked back..”

Verified user

[Read full review](#) 

Senior ICT Support Officer at a government with 5,001-10,000 employees

“We evaluated a few beforehand, however Loadbalancer.org was the one which was recommended to us by our software providers. They just told us it was the best one for us, so that's why we chose it.

We evaluated KEMP, F5, and Windows Integrated Load Balancer..”

Patrick Louis-Jean

[Read full review](#) 

IT Manager at a recruiting/HR firm with 51-200 employees

“Before using LoadBalancer Enterprise, I used HAProxy at the start when I began working as a network engineer.

“I decided to move from HAProxy to LoadBalancer Enterprise because HAProxy is available on Linux and it is not necessarily the easiest solution to get to grips with. It can be effective but much less effective than the best-known load-balancing solutions..”

Verified user

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

ROI

Real user quotes about their ROI:

“I think there is definitely a benefit that can be provided with LoadBalancer Enterprise. However, I do not work on the financial aspect and the results expressed in figures..”

Verified user

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“The appliances have had a measurable effect on our operating costs. I wouldn't be able to put a finger on it as such, but yes, they've definitely helped..”

Verified user

Business Development Manager at a government with 1,001-5,000 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“LoadBalancer Enterprise has optimized my traffic by about 20 to 30%. There are very lesser downtimes in terms of my overall data, including software deployment, development, and testing cycles. LoadBalancer Enterprise has drastically improved my productivity. The overall improvement is about 30%..”

AmiyaAcharya

Sr QA Automation Engineer at a tech vendor with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“The solution has allowed our business to almost double year over year in the voice-over IP area because it greatly helps with our customer retention. It blocks what should not make it to our back end servers and allowing only the needed customer traffic. It provides great security rules for hacking attempts..”

Roger Seelaender

UCaaS Engineering Manager at EarthLink

[Read full review](#) 

“Since the load balancer appliances are comparatively inexpensive, I imagine they have given us ROI. We paid significantly less for these than we would have for their competitors. However, since the systems we load balance were new systems, it is hard to measure the ROI.

It filled a requirement for our project, and it did so at lesser cost than their competitors..”

Chris Dow

Systems Programmer at a energy/utilities company with 501-1,000 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“With load balancing in place, there has been a high inflow of satisfaction from customers. The company could make more money. Additionally, there are no issues with the availability of applications across the board.

“One of the reasons why we went for LoadBalancer Enterprise is because of losing revenue when services are not available. With load balancing, services are available. A customer can make payments and there has been improvement in terms of income..”

Femi Adeyemi

Senior Team Lead Systems Administrator at a comms service provider with 51-200 employees

[Read full review](#) 

Use Case

“My main use for LoadBalancer Enterprise is to provide high availability for the application and to provide WAF services for the inbound traffic that is coming from the internet. Beyond this, we are performing SSL and TLS offloading on the load balancer, layer seven intelligent traffic steering, and applying OWASP Top 10 security policies for application security. We are also using it for global server load balancing. It is basically used to increase the application performance and to perform caching, compression, TCP optimization, and connection multiplexing..”

Saud Mustafa

Senior L3 Cloud Platform Network & Security Engineer at a comms service provider with 1,001-5,000 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“My main use case for LoadBalancer Enterprise is to ensure my servers are available and are accessible to customers around the world.

“I have three-node servers around which I set up the load balancer within them. In case one of them goes off, the load balancer can switch over to the next one. The servers use a virtual IP to connect to our application and then it detects the health of each of the servers. Only when they are down does the load balancer switch over to the next available healthy server and services are restored to our users..”

Femi Adeyemi

Senior Team Lead Systems Administrator at a comms service provider with 51-200 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“I am utilizing the cloud version of LoadBalancer Enterprise currently, as I have done the deployment in AWS Cloud, Azure Cloud, and Google Cloud as well. I am using all types of cloud, having done private cloud implementations for our environment and public cloud environments with AWS, Google, and Azure..”

MuthukaruppasamyR

[Read full review](#) 

Senior Network At Dxc Technology Professional at DXC Technology

“My main use case for LoadBalancer Enterprise involves non-functional testing such as load testing and break-even point validation for our APIs and UIs. I use LoadBalancer Enterprise to simulate real-life traffic data. For example, when 1,000 users are trying to access a particular resource at a particular time, I simulate this using LoadBalancer by increasing and decreasing the number of virtual users to check the amount of load the server can take. I want to ensure that at any given point in time, 10,000 users or 20,000 users can access our software or website without any interruptions. I provide the virtual user numbers and different other parameters such as our server setup, server configuration, and the number of load balancers being used. After providing these specifics, I simulate the real-world scenario and check if the software is able to handle the load..”

AmiyaAcharya

[Read full review](#) 

Sr QA Automation Engineer at a tech vendor with 10,001+ employees

“Since I started as a network engineer, I have worked on LoadBalancer Enterprise. Whether it is F5 or HAProxy, I have worked more with F5 recently since joining Swisscom.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise is used to host critical applications for several of our customers. We manage the network infrastructures of several clients, and for quite a few of them, those infrastructures have load balancers.

“We typically find all kinds of services that can be exposed on the internet via LoadBalancer Enterprise. This can include Exchange messaging and websites that need to be exposed and are placed behind the load balancer..”

Verified user

[Read full review](#) 

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

“My main use case for LoadBalancer Enterprise is application load sharing, which involves onboarding applications in the load balancer and distributing traffic while manipulating user requests and responses.

For example, with google.com accessed by multiple people, I may have three to four servers running the google.com service. To achieve traffic load sharing and prevent application performance issues, I implement a load balancer that works as a reverse proxy. This approach ensures I do not reveal my original servers to the client. The load balancer shares the load across all devices based on algorithms that identify which server has the least connections or resources, forwarding traffic accordingly. If one of my application servers goes down, the load balancer detects the service failure and reroutes traffic to available servers, helping us achieve effective load sharing.

Additionally, if users want to access a specific URI path in the application, I can apply restrictions and route traffic from specific sources to a particular server. If users access via HTTP, I can redirect from HTTP to HTTPS. I can also implement host-level redirection where customers only know the URL google.com but can be redirected to gmail.com. This is what I call manipulation of a request.

Regarding SSL offloading, the certificate and key exchange between the user machine and the load balancer can be handled, avoiding this load on the backend server. When I type google.com in the browser, it starts with a TCP handshake followed by an SSL handshake. I do not want to place this load on the backend application server, so the load balancer handles SSL offloading. The SSL transaction is completed at the load balancer, and clear text information is sent to the backend server. The user will not know the real application server, which can be masked using the load balancer.

I can also rewrite HTTP requests and responses using the load balancer. By implementing reverse proxy functionality and making the load balancer a gateway for the servers, I can enable full proxy mode. My main use cases involve load sharing, load balancing, and rewriting HTTP content..”

Verified user

Network Security Engineer at a consultancy with 11-50 employees

©2026 PeerSpot, All Rights Reserved

[Read full review](#) 

Setup

The setup process involves configuring and preparing the product or service for use, which may include tasks such as installation, account creation, initial configuration, and troubleshooting any issues that may arise. Below you can find real user quotes about the setup process.

“LoadBalancer Enterprise is quite easy to deploy. You must of course follow the best recommendations from the vendor. You should have a cluster and size the equipment according to the needs of the services..”

Verified user

[Read full review](#) 

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

“The initial setup is complex. First you need the SSL certificate for the URL. Once you have obtained this, you have to install a scaler before starting to configure your Loadbalancer. It will take around one or two hours..”

PandiyaneRegunathan

[Read full review](#) 

Ifra Texture Specialist at kyndryl

“The choice of environment depends on specific needs and requirements. The setup process for the testing phase typically takes me around 30 minutes to an hour. It involves installing the tool and entering necessary information like SSL details. The staffing requirement depends on the project's size, with a small-scale solution typically requiring only one person to manage. I would rate it seven out of ten..”

Kelvin Mariadas

System Consultant at Notable Frontier Sdn Bhd

[Read full review](#) 

“Loadbalancer.org has a complex setup. The time it takes to deploy depends on different technologies and the deployment teams. For example, it can take one day to deploy for some groups, while it could take two months for some. In my company, it took two months. Deployment time for Loadbalancer.org also depends on the competency of the deployment team and knowledge of load balancing..”

RichardBRUN

Consultant at Altran

[Read full review](#) 

“The initial setup was one of the easiest appliances I've ever installed in the network. It took two weeks to get the solution completely up and running and configured. The solution has monitor mode where you install it, you put it in route, but you don't turn it on, just let it run and watch the logs. You can write your rules based on what those logs are, and then slowly start turning it on for certain events..”

Roger Seelaender

UCaaS Engineering Manager at EarthLink

[Read full review](#) 

“I did face challenges when implementing LoadBalancer Enterprise in the cloud environment, as it is not quite easy. There were multiple options available, such as bring your own license and purchasing from the market. I needed to do a deep analysis to determine which option was suitable for the client based on their requirements. Some clients decided to purchase licenses from a vendor, while others chose to use BYOL. For scenarios such as the DR environment, I would only be testing during the DR test period, after which I would shut down these instances. For that need, I chose to go with the marketplace. Some clients wanted continuous production, so I opted for the bring your own license model, as purchasing from the marketplace might cost more. I have faced a couple of ways to choose the license for installation, and initially, the setup and configuration were a bit difficult to handle. After the first device installation, I managed to document what I needed for deployment, making subsequent implementations easier for me.

“The approximate timeframes to implement LoadBalancer Enterprise's solution begin with the initial implementations, which can bring up the box in a couple of hours. After that, if there are any migrations or if I'm migrating applications from my own environment to the cloud, that is going to take a lot of time; it cannot be done immediately. I need to work with the backend applications team to bring up those applications, migrate my configurations from on-prem to cloud, verify it, and work with the network team to ensure reachability. During the cutover, I need to shift traffic from on-prem to the cloud, which is a lengthy process. The initial deployment of LoadBalancer Enterprise can be done in a couple of hours, making it live is achievable within that timeframe..”

MuthukaruppasamyR

[Read full review](#) 

Senior Network At Dxc Technology Professional at DXC Technology

Customer Service and Support

“Customer support for LoadBalancer Enterprise is fairly responsive depending on how critical the issue is and what we need. They can respond more or less effectively to our requests depending on these factors..”

Verified user

ingénieur réseau at a comms service provider with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“Customer support is good; generally, we don't need much support since the product is stable, but they respond immediately when we do reach out. I rate customer support a 10..”

R Nandasana

Senior Information Technology Security Consultant at Mideast Data Systems

[Read full review](#) 

“We receive technical support from a vendor if it is under their scope. If the person in our team has some more experience, they can easily troubleshoot the issue. I would rate this technical support a four and a half out of five. .”

PandiyanRegunathan

Ifra Texture Specialist at kyndryl

[Read full review](#) 

“I recommend paying for a support license. I opened one ticket because one service wasn't working. After that, we ran some diagnostics and determined that we needed to upgrade something, then we integrated everything on our side. We only had one case in a year, so Loadbalancer is good..”

Verified user

[Read full review](#) 

Network and Security Engineer at a logistics company with 1,001-5,000 employees

“The tech support is good. For the most part, they are able to respond to my issues immediately. In some cases, you may get the runaround because in addition to having their primary support based in England they also have an office in Canada and Asia. You can run into an issue when one engineer passes the case over to another engineer after their shift and they don't know what the first engineer worked on up to that point. It is nice to know that someone is available, but they are not always the right engineer..”

Roger Seelaender

[Read full review](#) 

UCaaS Engineering Manager at EarthLink

“I have communicated with LoadBalancer technical support when facing licensing issues, particularly when needing to move licenses to different instances. When I request the release of the license from the old device, they usually respond appropriately. I can expect a response within fifteen to twenty minutes after I put in a ticket to release the license. While their support is good, the ongoing need to contact F5 for license releases can be a problem from an operational perspective, causing loss of production time, which is a disadvantage I see.

“Based on my experience with LoadBalancer Enterprise support, I would rate their responsiveness for releasing licenses a ten. For supporting other aspects, I would give them a rating of six or seven..”

MuthukaruppasamyR

Senior Network At Dxc Technology Professional at DXC Technology

[Read full review](#) 

Other Advice

“My advice to others looking into using LoadBalancer Enterprise is that it is a good product and they can be very certain it will work for them as they require. I believe this review has a rating of ten..”

Femi Adeyemi

Senior Team Lead Systems Administrator at a comms service provider with 51-200 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“LoadBalancer Enterprise is very useful, and I believe others should go for it. Obviously, it is the call of the DevOps team and the overall system designers and architects to make a decision. However, comparing it with other tools, LoadBalancer Enterprise is pretty solid in terms of distributing workloads, so you can go ahead. I would rate this product an 8..”

AmiyaAcharya

Sr QA Automation Engineer at a tech vendor with 10,001+ employees

[Read full review](#) 

“You need to have a basic knowledge of load balancers to use the solution. You can deploy the solution on-premises or on the cloud. Analytics-wise, the solution is much better compared to other vendors.

Overall, I rate the solution a nine out of ten..”

Jay-P

Senior VMware NSX Consultant at 27 Virtual

[Read full review](#) 

“Loadbalancer.org is highly valuable for organizations dealing with extensive file transfers. It helps prevent server overload by distributing the load across multiple servers, ensuring stability and enhancing security.

I would rate it an eight out of ten.

.”

Kelvin Mariadas

System Consultant at Notable Frontier Sdn Bhd

[Read full review](#) 

“I chose a rating of 8 out of 10 because LoadBalancer Enterprise has many benefits. Overall, it has features that I can achieve using the load balancer, including content rewriting, load balancing, and using it as a gateway for my servers. It acts as a firewall and enables SSL certificate management. These features are valuable, and I see positive aspects in the basic security function where I can inspect traffic based on whether the packet is RFC compliant or not.

Regarding LoadBalancer Enterprise's AI capabilities, I think its governance and

security are not as mature as they could be. I do not see integrated AI features. I think I missed mentioning improvements related to AI where they could develop AI-based capabilities to enhance resource management and performance in the next generation. Furthermore, improvements in [Kubernetes](#) integration are necessary, not only from the application server but also from the pod level.

I do not have much information about the accuracy and reliability of LoadBalancer Enterprise's AI outputs. My overall rating for this product is 8 out of 10..”

Verified user

Network Security Engineer at a consultancy with 11-50 employees

[Read full review](#) 

“If you are looking for a load balancer for your applications and you do not have any specific advanced requirements and you want a cost-effective load balancer with a good support level and easy deployment, then you can consider LoadBalancer Enterprise. If you have very advanced requirements and your environment is very large, then I would recommend other products at this time. However, if the improvements that I have suggested are added in the future, then LoadBalancer Enterprise can be reconsidered.

“If you have a mid to normal or small enterprise, you can consider this option. You should compare other load balancers in the market, such as F5, Avi, Citrix, and Kemp, and then make a final decision. If you are a normal enterprise with fewer than 50 virtual server requirements, then LoadBalancer Enterprise can be suitable for you. However, if you are in a large environment where you have very advanced load balancing requirements along with web application security features, and you want very granular visibility and detailed WAF monitoring, then I think other mature products would be better.

“I have not had any experience with the accuracy and reliability of AI output on LoadBalancer Enterprise. I do not have any experience with AI on ADCs in general, not on this load balancer and not on other load balancers, so I cannot comment on that. However, I think for normal use, it would be good, and you would not face any issues. I would rate this review a seven out of ten overall..”

Saud Mustafa

Senior L3 Cloud Platform Network & Security Engineer at a comms service provider with 1,001-5,000 employees

[Read full review](#) 


Top Industries

by visitors reading reviews




Company Size

by reviewers



by visitors reading reviews



Large Enterprise Midsize Enterprise Small Business

About this buyer's guide

Thanks for downloading this PeerSpot report.

The summaries, overviews and recaps in this report are all based on real user feedback and reviews collected by PeerSpot's team. Every reviewer on PeerSpot has been authenticated with our triple authentication process. This is done to ensure that every review provided is an unbiased review from a real user.

Get a custom version of this report... Personalized for you!

Please note that this is a generic report based on reviews and opinions from the collective PeerSpot community. We offer a [customized report](#) of solutions recommended for you based on:

- Your industry
- Company size
- Which solutions you're already considering

The customized report will include recommendations for you based on what other people like you are using and researching.

Answer a few questions in our short wizard to get your customized report.

[Get your personalized report here](#)

About PeerSpot

PeerSpot is the leading review site for cloud, AI, and business software. We created PeerSpot to provide a trusted platform to share information about software, applications, and services. Since 2012, over 22 million people have used PeerSpot to choose the right software for their business.

PeerSpot helps tech professionals by providing:

- A list of products recommended by real users
- In-depth reviews, including pros and cons
- Specific information to help you choose the best vendor for your needs

Use PeerSpot to:

- Read and post reviews of products
- Access over 30,000 buyer's guides and comparison reports
- Request or share information about functionality, quality, and pricing

Join PeerSpot to connect with peers to help you:

- Get immediate answers to questions
- Validate vendor claims
- Exchange tips for getting the best deals with vendor

Visit PeerSpot: www.peerspot.com

PeerSpot

244 5th Avenue, Suite R-230 • New York, NY 10001

reports@peerspot.com

+1 646.328.1944